

Stichting Laka: Documentatie- en onderzoekscentrum kernenergie

De Laka-bibliotheek

Dit is een pdf van één van de publicaties in de bibliotheek van Stichting Laka, het in Amsterdam gevestigde documentatie- en onderzoekscentrum kernenergie.

Laka heeft een bibliotheek met ongeveer 8000 boeken (waarvan een gedeelte dus ook als pdf), duizenden kranten- en tijdschriftenartikelen, honderden tijdschriftentitels, posters, video's en ander beeldmateriaal. Laka digitaliseert (oude) tijdschriften en boeken uit de internationale antikernenergiebeweging.

De <u>catalogus</u> van de Laka-bibliotheek staat op onze site. De collectie bevat een grote verzameling gedigitaliseerde <u>tijdschriften</u> uit de Nederlandse antikernenergie-beweging en een verzameling <u>video's</u>.

Laka speelt met oa. haar informatievoorziening een belangrijke rol in de Nederlandse anti-kernenergiebeweging.

The Laka-library

This is a PDF from one of the publications from the library of the Laka Foundation; the Amsterdam-based documentation and research centre on nuclear energy.

The Laka library consists of about 8,000 books (of which a part is available as PDF), thousands of newspaper clippings, hundreds of magazines, posters, video's and other material.

Laka digitizes books and magazines from the international movement against nuclear power.

The <u>catalogue</u> of the Laka-library can be found at our website. The collection also contains a large number of digitized <u>magazines</u> from the Dutch anti-nuclear power movement and a <u>video-section</u>.

Laka plays with, amongst others things, its information services, an important role in the Dutch anti-nuclear movement.

Appreciate our work? Feel free to make a small <u>donation</u>. Thank you.



www.laka.org | info@laka.org | Ketelhuisplein 43, 1054 RD Amsterdam | 020-6168294

SAND94-2157 Unlimited Release Printed September 1994 Distribution Category UC-523

A COMPARISON OF WORLD-WIDE USES OF SEVERE REACTOR ACCIDENT SOURCE TERMS

M. L. Ang NNC Ltd. Knutsford, United Kingdom A. Meyer-Heine Comissariat a l'Energie Atomique Centre d'Etudes de Cadarache, France

W. Frid Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate Stockholm, Sweden

E. J. Kersting, H-G. Friederichs Gesellschaft fuer Anlagen und Reaktorsicherheit (GRS) mbh Cologne, Germany Sandia National Laboratories Albuquerque, NM

D. A. Powers

K. Soda Japanese Atomic Research Institute Tokyo, Japan

R. Y. Lee U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. **D. Sweet** AEA Technology Winfrith, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

The definitions of source terms to reactor containments and source terms to the environment are discussed. A comparison is made between the TID-14844 example source term and the alternative source term described in NUREG-1465. Comparisons of these source terms to the containments and those used in France, Germany, Japan, Sweden, and the United Kingdom are made. Source terms to the environment calculated in NUREG-1500 and WASH-1400 are discussed. Again, these source terms are compared to those now being used in France, Germany, Japan, Sweden, and the United Kingdom.

It is concluded that source terms to the containment suggested in NUREG-1465 are not greatly more conservative than those used in other countries. Technical bases for the source terms are similar. The regulatory use of the current understanding of radionuclide behavior varies among countries.

NOTFINITION OF THE OCCUM

ii

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned Reference herein to any specific commercial rights. product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

CONTENTS

| I. | BACKGROUND |
|------|-----------------------------------|
| П. | SOURCE TERMS TO THE CONTAINMENT 4 |
| | A. France |
| | B. Germany |
| | C. Japan |
| | D. Sweden |
| | E. United Kingdom |
| | F. United States |
| III. | SOURCE TERMS TO THE ENVIRONMENT |
| | A. France 6 |
| | B Germany 6 |
| | C Japan 6 |
| | D Sweden 6 |
| | E. United Kingdom |
| IV. | REFERENCES |

TABLES

| 1 | Source Terms to the Containment. | ; |
|---|---|---|
| 2 | Severe Accident Source Terms to the Environment | r |
| 3 | French Source Terms to the Environment | |
| 4 | Source Terms to the Environment for Various Accidents in German | |
| | Pressurized Water Reactors | ; |

I. Background

Regulatory and public concerns about the use of nuclear reactors for power production focus on the potential for release of radioactive materials from the nuclear plant during normal operations or as the result of accidents. The early development of nuclear reactors involved relatively small amounts of fuel and, consequently, small inventories of radioactive materials. There was a great deal of uncertainty about the safe performance of the early developmental reactors. A safety strategy pursued during those pioneering development activities was to locate the reactors on large government-owned reservations well isolated from the public. In the case of accidental release of radioactivity, the radioactive material would be so diluted by the time it reached the site boundary that it would pose no significant threat to the public.

The formulae used for the calculation of the dilution and deposition of radioactive materials release from a reactor included a term that described the magnitude and the duration of radioactive material release from the reactor. This term, usually designated by the symbol S or \dot{S} in the equations, became known as the "source term." It has come to include a description of the physical and chemical forms of the released materials as well as the magnitude and duration of the release. A document produced in the early 1960s, <u>Calculation of Distance Factors for Power and Test Reactors</u>[1], provided an example source term that could be used in deterministic calculations to demonstrate that a reactor was sufficiently isolated from the public. This example source term has achieved greater significance as it has been adopted and adapted for the regulation of commercial power reactors in the USA [2,3]. The source term focuses on the radioactive noble gases, typically xenon and krypton, and radioactive iodine. One hundred percent of the noble gases are assumed to be released. Only 50% of the iodine is taken to be released from reactor fuel. Of this, only half is taken to be available for release from the plant. The released radioactive iodine is 91% gaseous I_2 , 5% iodine-bearing particulate, and 4% a gaseous organic iodine compound.

Improvements in nuclear technology and the economies of scale led to the development of large nuclear power plants with large inventories of radionuclides. No sites in the USA could satisfy the isolation requirements for public safety based on distance factor formulae developed for the early research reactors. Additional safety measures were imposed. Among these measures was a requirement that nuclear power reactors be enclosed in stout containments, that would act as barriers to the release of radioactive materials into the environment where they would pose threats to the public. Deterministic analyses of radionuclide retention within containments also involved formulae with terms describing the magnitude and duration of radionuclide releases from the reactor and fuel into the containment. These terms were also called "source terms." Unlike the original source terms, these source terms refer only to the releases of radioactivity into the containment.

When very simplified source terms are used, such as those in references 1-3, there is not much confusion associated with source terms to the containment and source terms to the environment. The technical understanding of radionuclide release and behavior has advanced greatly and the source terms are now much more complicated. A persistent confusion exists concerning "source terms to the containment" and "source terms to the environment." This confusion has been magnified as interests have developed in evaluating public consequences of

1

severe or beyond-design-basis reactor accidents. <u>The Reactor Safety Study</u>[4] demonstrated that public risks associated with the use of commercial nuclear power were due predominantly to these beyond-design-basis accidents. First attempts were made in the Reactor Safety Study to mechanistically calculate the release of radioactive materials from reactor fuel and the subsequent behaviors of these materials. These calculations demonstrated that natural and engineered processes could substantially attenuate the magnitudes of radionuclide releases to the environment even if containments were, eventually, ruptured in a reactor accident.

The technology first articulated in the Reactor Safety Study has been developed further since 1975--spurred, perhaps, by reactor accidents at Three Mile Island and Chernobyl. For representative accidents, it is now possible to make quite detailed, mechanistic calculations of both the source term to the containment and the source term to the environment[5]. Results of these representative calculations can be grouped and correlated for more routine, generic uses. The substantial body of technical knowledge has been assembled and examined to formulate an alternative to the source term to the containment described in reference 1. This alternative [6] describes more elements than does the older source term, as is shown by the comparison in Table 1. Different source terms are described for boiling water reactors and for pressurized water reactors. Because natural and engineered processes take time to attenuate releases to the containment, timing of the releases is a critical feature of the alternative source term. Beyond-design-basis accidents are divided into four phases:

- gap release phase,
- in-vessel release phase,
- ex-vessel release phase, and
- late in-vessel release phase.

Releases of radioactive materials are specified for each of these accident phases. Except for iodine and the noble gases, the radioactive materials released to the containment are taken to be aerosol particles. Iodine entering the containment is taken to be 95% particulate and 5% gaseous I or HI.

The alternative source terms to the containment described in reference 6 were chosen to somewhat conservatively bound source terms calculated for severe reactor accidents. As such, they represent one assessment of a conservative source term to use for regulatory purposes. It is of interest then to compare this source term to source terms used for regulation in other countries.

There has been active development of severe accident analyses in countries outside the USA. Attentions can be drawn to Phase B of the German Risk Study[7], the Public Inquiry for the Sizewell B plant in the United Kingdom[8], the RAMA Study in Sweden [9], development of the Thales computer codes in Japan[10], and the experimental studies and code development work in France[11]. In a qualitative sense, these various analyses of severe accident source terms to the containment and to the environment employ methods similar to those used in the USA. Of interest are quantitative differences.

In the subsections below, source terms being used in various countries are compared. In Section II source terms to the containment are discussed. In Section III source terms to the environment are described.

| | | NUREG-1465* Boiling Water Reactors (6) | | | | NUREG-1465* Pressurized Water Reactors (6) | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Release | Req. Guide*,** 1.3, 1.4 (1,2,3) | gap | in-vessel | ex-vessel | late in-vessel | gap | in-vessel | ex-vessel | late in-vessel |
| Duration(s) | 0 | 3600 | 5400 | 10800 | 36,000 | 1800 | 4680 | 7200 | 36,000 |
| Radionuclide | | | | | | | | | |
| Xe, Kr | 100 | 5 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 95 | 0 | 0 |
| Iodine | | | | | | | | | |
| -gas | 23.75 | 0.25 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.75 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| -particle | 1.25 | 4.75 | 20.9 | 35.2 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 33.25 | 27.6 | 6.6 |
| -total | 25 | 5 | 22 | 37 | 7 | 5 | 35 | 29 | 7 |
| Cesium | 0 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 3 | 5 | 25 | 39 | 6 |
| Tellurium | 0 | 0 | 11 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 29 | 2.5 |
| Strontium | 0 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 0 |
| Barium | 0 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 |
| Ruthenium | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Cerium | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0 |
| Lanthanum | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0 |

Table 1. Source Terms to the Containment

*Releases are given as percent of core inventory. **Reference 1 calls for release of 1 percent of other radionuclides in particulate form.

II. Source Terms to the Containment

Information that has been assembled on the regulatory source terms to the containment used in various countries are summarily described in the subsections below:

A. France

The source term to the containment is not as much a focus of regulatory attention as source terms to the environment (see discussion, below). The current source term to the containment used for regulatory evaluations is:

| Radionuclide Group | <u>Release</u> (% of Core Inventory) |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Kr, Xe | 100 |
| I, Br, Cs, Rb | 100 |
| Te, Sb, Ag, Sn | 100 |
| Sr, Ba, Mo, Tc | 10 |
| Ru, Rh, As, In, Cd, Pd | 10 |
| Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd | 0.1 |
| Np, Pu, Am, Cm | 0.1 |

With the exception of the Te, Sb, Ag, Sn group release, this source term is quite comparable to that specified in NUREG-1465[6]. The release of the Te, Sb, Ag, Sn group is somewhat higher than what is specified in NUREG-1465.

B. Germany

Releases to the containment for the dominant accident sequence (total loss of feedwater) with subsequent opening of the pressurizer valves (primary bleed) and total failure of all active injection systems are:

| Radionuclide | In-Vessel Phase | Ex-vessel Phase |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | (% of core inventory) | (% of core inventory) |
| Xe, Kr | 100 | - |
| I, Cs | 85 | - |
| Te | 22 | 50 |
| Sr | 0.044 | - |
| Ba | 1.0 | - |
| others | <0.001 | - |

C. Japan

Regulatory approaches to the source term to the containment are based on the so-called TID-14844 source term described in references 1, 2, and 3. There are plans to re-examine this approach in light of technical developments over the past several years.

D. Sweden

Sweden's regulatory authorities do not prescribe a radionuclide source term to the containment. The accident scenario chosen as a design basis for the 9 boiling water reactors and 3 pressurized water reactors is a total loss of power for 24 hours. Active accident management is taken to be possible 8 hours after accident initiation. In addition, boiling water reactors have a design basis accident involving a pipe break loss of coolant combined with failure of pressure suppression. Radionuclide source terms to the containment are calculated with the MAAP code. The MAAP code was validated for these purposes in the RAMA project [9].

E. United Kingdom

The United Kingdom is in the process of licensing a single pressurized water reactor. It is feasible, then, to apply mechanistic models to the prediction of source terms to the containment. The basic code being used for these analyses is MAAP. The analyses with MAAP are being supported by modified versions of VICTORIA, for in-vessel release phases, and CORCON, for ex-vessel release phases. The approach toward source terms is rather similar to that used in the NUREG-1150 study of five representative U.S. nuclear power plants[5].

F. United States

As of now, the source term to the containment for regulatory use is that specified in TID-14844 and modified as described in references 2 and 3. There is a proposal [6] of an alternative source term to be used for safety analyses of advanced reactors. This alternative source term may be adopted by operators of existing nuclear power plants.

III. Source Terms to the Environment

Source terms to the environment are, of course, critical inputs to the analyses of accident consequences. Typically, these source terms are cast in probabilistic risk analysis formulations so there is no single bounding source term. Rather, there are source term categories. The source term categories defined in the Reactor Safety Study[4] are shown in Table 2. The analyses that yielded these source term categories have been updated by the NUREG-1150 study[5]. This study defined quite a few more categories. For comparison purposes only, a risk dominant (individual risk at 1 mile from the plant) source term for the pressurized water reactor Surry (NUREG-1150, SUR-10-2) is shown in Table 2.

Source terms to the environment considered in other countries are described below:

A. France

France employs three bounding source terms to the environment, S1, S2, and S3. These source terms to the environment are shown in Table 3. The source term S1 involves the performance of no engineered safety features and is probably comparable to the PWR2 source term from the Reactor Safety Study or the risk dominant source term for Surry shown in Table 2. The S3 source term corresponds to release through a filtered venting system. The filtration reduces the magnitude of radionuclide release to the environment substantially.

B. Germany

Within the context of the German Risk Study[7], five source terms for various accident sequences are defined. These accident source terms to the environment are shown in Table 4. The source term for early containment failure is comparable to the more severe source terms considered for U. S. commercial nuclear power plants such as the PWR2 source term. Very much tighter restrictions on source terms to the environment are being imposed on future reactors.

C. Japan

Publicly available information on severe accident source terms to the environment calculated for Japanese reactors is scarce. Calculations are being done with the MAAP and MELCOR codes.

D. Sweden

A decree by the government of Sweden concerning accidental releases of radionuclides states:

- land contamination, which impedes the use of large areas for a long period, shall be prevented,
- acute radiation fatalities shall not occur,
- the specified maximum release of radioactive substances shall apply to all reactors irrespective of site or power, and
- extremely improbable events and scenarios need not be considered for meeting these requirements.

To comply with this decree, it is required that radionuclide releases must be limited to noble gases and at most 0.1% of the inventories of ¹³⁴Cs and ¹³⁷Cs in a reactor core of 1800 MW thermal power. It is assumed that other radionuclides of significance with regard to land contamination are released to a lesser, or at most equal, extent.

| Release Category | Time(s) | Xe, Kr | Organic I | Inorganic I | Cs | Te | Ba, Sr | Ru | La |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| (Reference | 4) | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| PWR2 | 9000 | 90 | 0.7 | 70 | 50 | 30 | 6 | 2 | 0.3 |
| PWR3 | 1 8 000 | 80 | 0.6 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 2 | 3 | 0.4 |
| PWR4 | 7200 | 60 | 0.2 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| PWR5 | 7200 | 30 | 0.2 | $ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 0.08 \\ 2 \times 10^{-3} \end{array} $ | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| PWR6 | 43200 | 30 | 0.2 | | 0.08 | 0.1 | 9 x 10 ⁻³ | 7 x 10 ⁻³ | 7 x 10 ⁻³ |
| PWR7 | 3600 | 0.6 | 2 x 10 ⁻³ | | 1 x 10 ⁻³ | 2 x 10 ⁻³ | 1 x 10 ⁻⁴ | 1 x 10 ⁻⁴ | 2 x 10 ⁻⁵ |
| PWR8 | 1800 | 0.2 | 5 x 10 ⁻⁴ | 0.01 | 0.05 | 1 x 10 ⁻⁴ | 1 x 10 ⁻⁶ | 0 | 0 |
| PWR9 | 1800 | 3 x 10 ⁻⁴ | 7 x 10 ⁻⁷ | 1 x 10 ⁻⁵ | 6 x 10 ⁻⁵ | 1 x 10 ⁻⁷ | 1 x 10 ⁻⁹ | 0 | |
| BWR1 | 1800 | 100 | 0.7 | 40 | 40 | 70 | 5 | 50 | 0.5 |
| BWR2 | 10800 | 100 | 0.7 | 90 | 50 | 30 | 10 | 3 | 0.4 |
| BWR3 | 10800 | 100 | 0.7 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 0.4 |
| BWR4 | 7200 | 60 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
| BWR5 | 18000 | 0.05 | 2 x 10 ⁻⁷ | 6 x 10 ⁻⁹ | 4 x 10 ⁻⁷ | 8 x 10 ⁻¹⁰ | 8 x 10 ⁻¹² | 0 | 0 |
| NUREG-1 <u>SUR-10-2</u> | 150 | 76 | 0.8 | 15.2 | 16 | 31 | 20 | 5.2 | 2.2 |

Table 2. Severe Accident Source Terms to the Environment

Release*

.

*Percent of initial core inventory

4

*

Table 3. French Source Terms to the Environment

| Radionuclide | S1 | S2 | S 3 |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Noble Gases | 80 | 75 | 75 |
| Iodine | | | |
| Organic | 0.7 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| Inorganic | 60 | 2.7 | 0.3 |
| Cesium | 40 | 5.5 | 0.35 |
| Tellurium | 8 | 5 | 0.4 |
| Strontium | 5 | 0.6 | 0.04 |
| Ruthenium | 2 | 0.5 | 0.03 |
| Lanthanum | 0.3 | 0.08 | 0.005 |
| Cerium | 0.3 | 0.08 | 0.005 |

Source Term*

*Percent of core inventory

Table 4. Source Terms to the Environment for Various Accidents in **German Pressurized Water Reactors**

| Radionuclide | Early Containment Failure | Small Leak in Containment | Filtered Venting From Containment |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Xe, Kr | 100 | 100 | 90 |
| Iodine | >50 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| Cesium | >50 | 0.04 | 3 x 10 ⁻⁵ |
| Tellruium | >50 | 0.2 | 4 x 10 ⁻⁴ |
| Strontium | 40 | 0.02 | 2 x 10 ⁻⁵ |
| Start of Release(s) | >7200 | 21600 | >3.46 x 10 ⁵ |

Source Term*

*Percent of core inventory.

E. United Kingdom

A full scope probabilistic risk assessment of the Sizewell B pressurized water reactor has been completed[12, 13, 14]. Results of the sequence analyses are collected together to define a variety of source term categories. These source term categories are defined based on the characteristics of radioactive material released from the containment such as the release duration and timing as well as the amount of radioactive material released. These source term categories are then grouped into a set of 22 release categories based on the effective dose at 80 meters from the plant (4 release categories) or the effective dose at 3 kilometers from the plant (18 release categories). The release categories involve doses of up to 1000 Sv at 3 km. Again, these release categories include more information than just the radionuclide makeup of the material released to the environment. Radionuclide compositions of the releases have not been published.

IV. Comparisons Among Source Terms

There is a broad comparability among the source terms being used in France, Germany, Japan, Sweden, the United Kingdom, and the USA. The technical bases for estimating the releases of radioactive materials to the reactor containment and from the nuclear plant into the environment are quite similar. It appears likely that for comparable accident scenarios, the predicted source terms would be rather similar. Differences among the estimated releases of radionuclides to the reactor containment are probably not as significant as are the differences between the TID-14844[1] source term which emphasized gaseous iodine and more modern estimates such as those in NUREG-1465[2] which emphasize particulate material. Comparison of predicted releases of radionuclides to the environment are more difficult to make because of differences in plant designs and the elaborate probabilistic framework surrounding the estimates. It is likely that predicted releases to the environment would be quite comparable for comparable accident scenarios at comparable plants.

V. References

- 1. J. J. DiNunno et al., <u>Calculation of Distance Factors for Power and Test Reactor Sites</u>, TID-14844, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C., 1962.
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, <u>Assumptions Used for Evaluating the Potential</u> <u>Consequences of a Loss of Coolant Accident for Boiling Water Reactors</u>, Regulatory Guide 1.3, Revision 2, June 1974.
- 3. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, <u>Assumptions Used for Evaluating the Potential</u> <u>Consequences of a Loss of Coolant Accident for Pressurized Water Reactors</u>, Regulatory Guide 1.4, Revision 2, June 1974.
- 4. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, <u>Reactor Safety Study-An Assessment of Accident</u> <u>Risks in U.S. Commercial Nuclear Power Plants</u>, WASH-1400, NUREG-75/14, Washington, D.C., October 1975.

- 5. U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, <u>Severe Accident Risks: An Assessment of Five</u> <u>U.S. Nuclear Power Plants</u>, NUREG-1150 Volumes 1 and 2, December 1990.
- L. Soffer, S. B. Burson, C. M. Ferrell, R. Y. Lee and J. N. Rightly, <u>Accident Source</u> <u>Terms for Light-Water-Nuclear Power Plants</u>, NUREG-1465, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., June 1992.
- 7. Gesellschaft fuer Reaktorsicherheit mbh (GRS), <u>German Risk Study Nuclear Power</u> <u>Plants, Phase B</u>, GRS-74, Cologne, Germany, July 1990.
- 8. G. Pettit, M. L. Ang, and N. E. Buttery, "The Radiological Categorization Methodology for the Sizewell 'B' Probabilistic Safety Assessment," presentation, <u>IAEA Workshop on</u> <u>Procedures for Level 2 PSA</u>, Vienna, May 25-29, 1992.
- E. Soderman, L. Hammar, W. Frid, J. O. Liljenzin, O. Sandervag, and K. Johansson, <u>Severe Accident Analysis in Sweden - Methods and Results</u>: <u>RAMA III Final Report</u>, RAMA III 89-02, December 1989.
- M. Kajimoto et al., "Development of THALES-2, A Computer Code for Coupled Thermal--Hydraulics and Fission Product Analysis for Severe Accidents at LWRs and Its Application to Analysis of Fission Product Revaporization Phenomena," <u>Proc. Int'l</u> <u>Topical Mtg. on Safety of Thermal Reactors</u>, Portland, Oregon, 1991.
- J. Gauvin, G. L'Hraubet, J. P. L'Heriteau, G. Ginereno, J. M. Dumas, and E. Studer, <u>ESCADRE - A System of Computer Codes for Realistic Assessment of Severe Accidents</u> in PWRs, Rapport DPEI/91-03, 1991.
- 12. P. J. Ross and C. Dawson, "Results of the Sizewell B probabilistic safety analysis", <u>Proceedings of the British Nuclear Energy Society Conference on Thermal Reactor Safety</u> <u>Assessment</u>, Manchester, U.K., May 1994.
- M. L. Ang, N. E. Buttery, S. H. M. Jones and D. B. Utton, "Sizewell B Level 2 PSA Analysis", <u>Proceedings of the British Nuclear Energy Society Conference on Thermal Reactor Safety Assessment</u>, Manchester, U.K., May 1994.
- M. L. Ang, N. E. Buttery, L. M. C. Dutton, and E. Grindon, "Severe Accident Source Term Categorization for the Sizewell B Probabilistic Safety Assessment", <u>Proceedings of</u> <u>the British Nuclear Energy Society Conference on Thermal Reactor Safety Assessment</u>, Manchester, U.K., May 1994.

DISTRIBUTION:

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (4)
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Regulation
Attn.: R. Barrett, O8H7
J. H. Flack, T10F13
L. Soffer, T10F13
A. C. Thadani, 12G18
Washington, D.C. 20555

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (10)
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
Attn.: E. S. Beckjord, T10F12
F. Eltawila, T10K8
N. Grossman, T10K8
T. L. King, T10E37
R. Y. Lee, T10K8
R. L. Palla, Jr., 10 E 4
A. M. Rubin, T10K8
M. W. Hodges, T10E37
T. P. Speis, T10F12
C. G. Tinkler, T10K8
Washington, D.C. 20555

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of ACRS Attn.: M. D. Houston, T2E26 Washington, D.C. 20555

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Information Resources Management End User Support Services Branch T2C8 Washington, D.C. 20555

U.S. Department of Energy (3) Albuquerque Operations Office P.O. Box 5400 Attn.: R. N. Holton J. A. Morley J. R. Roeder Albuquerque, NM 87185 U.S. Department of Energy Idaho Operations Office 785 DOE Place Attn.: W. H. Rettig Idaho Falls, ID 83401-1134

ABB/CE 1000 Prospect Mill Road CEP 9612-2207 Attn.: R. Schneider Winsor, CT 06095

Argonne National Laboratory 9700 South Cass Avenue Attn.: B. Spencer Argonne, IL 60439

Battelle Columbus Laboratory 505 King Avenue Attn.: C. Alexander Columbus, OH 43201

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory Reactor Systems, Fuels & Matls. P8-35 P.O. Box 999 Attn.: F. E. Panisko Richland, WA 99352

Brookhaven National Laboratory 130 BNL Attn.: T. Pratt Upton, NY 11973

Electric Power Research Institute P.O. Box 10412 3412 Hillview Avenue Attn.: M. Merilo Palo Alto, CA 94303

Strategic Technology and Resources Attn: Phil Holzman 195 High Street Winchester, MA 01890 General Atomics P.O. Box 85608 Attn.: S. Inamati San Diego, CA 92138-5608

G. E. Knolls Atomic Power Lab Box 1072 Attn.: J. Conine, D2-221 Schenectady, NY 12501

Idaho National Engineering Laboratory EG&G Idaho, Inc. Willow Creek Building, W-3 P.O. Box 1625 Attn.: C. M. Allison Idaho Falls, ID 83415

International Technical Services 420 Lexington Avenue Attn.: P. Abramson New York, New York 10170

Los Alamos National Laboratory (3) P.O. Box 1663 Attn.: F. Dearing L. Trocki B. Boyack Los Alamos, NM 87545

Massachusetts Institute of Technology (2) Nuclear Engineering Dept. 77 Massachusetts Avenue Attn.: M. Kazimi N. Todreas Cambridge, MA 02139

Oak Ridge National Laboratory (3) P.O. Box Y Attn.: E. Beahm T. Kress A. L. Wright Oak Ridge, TN 37830

PLG Inc. 191 Calle Magdalena Suite 240 Attn.: D. Buttermer Encunitas, CA 92024 Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (2) Department of Nuclear Engineering and Engineering Physics Attn.: R. T. Lahey Jr. M. Podowski Troy, NY 12180-3590

Rice University Department of Chemistry 6100 Main Street Attn.: J. L. Margrave Houston, TX 77251

Stone and Webster 245 Summer Street Attn.: J. Metcalf, MS 245-2 Boston, MA 02107

University of California, Berkeley (2) Attn.: D. Olander S. Prussin Berkeley, CA 94720

University of California, Los Angeles Nuclear Energy Laboratory 405 Hilgaard Avenue Attn.: I. Catton Los Angeles, CA 90024

University of Maryland Nuclear Engineering Department Attn.: F. Munno College Park, MD 20742

Westinghouse Bettis Atomic Laboratory P.O. Box 79 Attn.: J. W. Wolfe ZAP 34N West Mifflin, PA 15122-0079

U.S. Department of Energy Attn: P. Worthington, EH-12 19901 Germantown Road Germantown, MD 20585 University of California, Los Angeles (3) Nuclear Energy Laboratory Attn: D. Okrent W. Kastenberg G. Apostolakis 405 Hilgaard Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90024

Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Attn: D. Petti Willow Creek Building, W-3 P.O. Box 1625 Idaho Falls, ID 83415

FOREIGN DISTRIBUTION:

AECL CANDU Sheridan Park Research Community 2251 Speakman Avenue Attn.: V. J. Nath Mississauga, Ontario L5K 1B2 CANADA

AECL Research (5) Chalk River Research Laboratories Attn.: L. N. Carlucci D. S. Cox L. W. Dickson R. S. Dickson B. H. McDonald Chalk River, Ontario KOJ 1JO CANADA

AECL Research (2) Whiteshell Laboratories Attn.: S. R. Mulpuru L. A. Simpson Pinawa, Manitoba R0E 1L0 CANADA

Consejo de Seguirdad Nuckan SOR Angela de la Cruz No. 3 Attn.: J. Bagues 28056 Madrid SPAIN AERE Harwell (4) Didcot Attn.: R. G. J. Ball N. E. Beatham M. A. Mignanelli A. L. Nichols Oxfordshire OX11 ORA UNITED KINGDOM

Cadarache Center for Nuclear Studies (5) Attn.: B. Andre P. Dumaz M. Schwartz A. Meyer-Heine F. Serre F-13108 Saint Paul-Lez-Durance Cedex FRANCE

Consijo de Seguirdad Nuclear Justo Dorado 11 Attn.: J. A. Martinez J. E. de Carlos 28040 Madrid SPAIN

Committee on the Use of Atomic Energy for Peaceful Purposes 69 Shipchenski Prokhod Blvd. Attn.: Y. Yanev 1574, Sofia BULGARIA

Director Research, Science Education CEC Rue De La Loi 200 Attn.: B. Tolley W. Balz 1049 Brussels BELGIUM

Finnish Centre for Radiation and Nuclear Safety Department of Nuclear Safety P.O. Box 268 Attn.: J. V. Sandberg SF-00101 Helsinki FINLAND ENEL-CRTN Via Monfalcone 15 Attn.: E. Borioli 20132 Milan ITALY

Belgonucleaire SA Rue du Champ de Mars 25 Attn: H. Bairiot J. Basselier B-1050 Brussels BELGIUM

TRACTEBEL Avenue Ariane 7 Attn: E.J. Stubbe B-1200 Brussels BELGIUM

E. T. S. Ingenieros Industriales Jost Gutierrez Abascal, 2 Attn.: A. Alonso 28006 Madrid SPAIN

Hungarian Atomic Energy Commission H-1374 Budapest, P.O. Box 565 Attn.: S. Elo Budapest HUNGARY

Institut de Protection et de Surete Nucleaire (6) CEN/FAR-B.P. No. 6 F-92265 Fontenay-aux-Roses Attn.: J. Bardelay M. LiVolant J. Duco M. LeComte M. Gomolinski R. Pochard Cedex FRANCE

Institute of Nuclear Energy Research P.O. Box 3 Attn.: Sen-I Chang Lung-Tan TAIWAN 325 Jozef Stefan Institute Jamova 39 Attn.: B. Mavko 61111 Ljubljana SLOVENIA

Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute (4) Tokai-mura Naku-gun Attn.: Y. Maruyama K. Soda J. Sugimoto N. Yamano Ibaraki-ken, 319-11 JAPAN

JRC Ispra Establishment (5)
Thermodynamics and Radiation Physics
Attn.: J. Capitao
P. Fasoli-Stella
A. V. Jones
I. Shepherd
Y. Drossinos
1-201020 Ispra (Varese)
ITALY

Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe (3) P.O. Box 3640 Attn.: S. Hagen P. Hofmann B. Kuczera 75 Karlsruhe GERMANY

Korea Atomic Energy Research Insitute 150 Dukjin-dong, Yoosung-gu Attn.: Hee-Dong Kim Taejeon 305-353 KOREA

Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz Sesener Str. 5-11 Attn: L. Weil 38239 Salzgitter-Immendorf GERMANY

14

| Netherlands Energy Research Fou | indation (6) | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| P.O. Box 1 | | | | | |
| Attn.: A. S. Booij R. Konin | | | | | |
| E. Cordfunke | S. | | | | |
| Spoelstra | | | | | |
| M. E. Huntelaar | P. Stoop | | | | |
| 1755 ZG Petten (NH) | | | | | |
| THE NETHERLANDS | | | | | |

Nuclear Power Engineering Corporation Fujitakanko Building 17-1, 3-Chrome, Toranomon, Minato-Ku Attn.: Kenji Takumi Tokyo, 105 JAPAN

Nuclear Electric (3) Berkeley Nuclear Laboratory, Berkeley Attn.: C. Chapman J. Eccles J. Gwyther Gloucestershire GL 13 9PB UNITED KINGDOM

Nucleare e della Protezione Sanitaria (DISP) Ente Nazionnle Energie Alternative (ENEA) Viale Regina Margherita, 125 Casella Postale M. 2358 Attn.: G. Petrangeli M. Pezzilli G. Saponaro I-00144 Roma A. D. ITALY

Nuclear Regulatory Authority Slovak Republic Bajkalska 27 Attn.: J. Misak 827 21 Bratislave SLOVAK REPUBLIC

Nuclear Research Institute (2) Attn.: J. Kujal F. Pazdera 250 68 Rez CZECH REPUBLIC Nuclear Safety Institute (4) Russian Research Center KI 1 Kurchatov Square Attn.: V. Asmolov E. G. Basanski V. Demin S. B. Dorofeev 123182 Moscow RUSSIA

N. V. Kema P.O. Box 9035 Attn.: P. Kloeg 6800 ET Arnhem THE NETHERLANDS

Ontario Hydro (2) 700 University Avenue Attn.: F. C. Iglesias O. Akalin Toronto, Ontario M5G 1X6 CANADA

Paul Scherrer Institute Attn.: P. Hosemann CH-5232 Villigen, PSI SWITZERLAND

Polytechnical University of Madrid Nuclear Engineering Department Jose Gutierrez Abascal, 2 Attn.: A. Alonzo-Santos 28006 Madrid SPAIN

Power Reactor Nuclear Fuel Development Corporation (PNC) 9-13, 1-Chome Akasaka Attn.: A. Watanabe Minato-Ku, Tokyo JAPAN

Reactor Centrum Nederland Attn.: K. J. Brinkman 1755 ZG Petten THE NETHERLANDS Research Centre Rossendorf Inc. Forschungszentrum Rossendorf Postfach 19 Attn.: Dr. H. Funke DO-8051 Dresden GERMANY

Royal Institute of Technology Department of Nuclear Reactor Engineering Attn.: K. M. Becker B. R. Sehgal S-10044 Stockholm SWEDEN

State Office for Nuclear Safety Slezska 9 Attn.: J. Stuller 120 00 Prague 2 CZECH REPUBLIC

Russian Academy of Sciences Nuclear Safety Institute 52, B. Tulskaya Attn.: L. Bolshov V. Chudanov V. G. Strizhov 113191 Moscow RUSSIA

Statens Karnkraftinspektion P.O. Box 27106 Attn.: W. Frid L. Hammar S-10252 Stockholm SWEDEN

Swiss Federal Nuclear Safety Inspectorate Attn.: S. Chakraborty U. Schmocker Ch-5232 Villigen - HSK SWITZERLAND

Ruhr University of Boschum Dept. of Nuclear & New Energy Systems Attn: U. Brockmeier GERMANY UKAEA, Risley Nuclear Laboratories (4) Risley, Warrington Attn.: A. T. D. Butland I. H. Dunbar G. F. Holford C. D. Wheatley Cheshire WA3 4NE UNITED KINGDOM

UKAEA, Winfrith Technology Centre (7) Attn.: B. R. Bowsher A. Mason T. J. Haste D. Sweet S. R. Kinnersly D. A. Williams J. N. Lillington Dorchester Dorset DT2 8DH UNITED KINGDOM

Geselischaft Fuer Reaktorsicherheit (3) Forschungsgelande Attn: M. Firrhober M. Sonnenkalb Klaus Trambauer D-8046 Garching GERMANY

University of Stuttgart (2) IKE Pfaffenwaldring 31 Attn.: U. Bieder N. Kourti D-7000 Stuttgart 80 GERMANY

VATESI (2) Gediminis Prospect 36 Attn.: A. Kaliatka P. Vaisnys Vilnius LITHUANIA

Com. Nacional de Seguridad Nucl. Salvag Colonia Narvarte Delegation B. Juarez Attn: Miguel Medina Vaillard Dr. Abrragan #779 C.P. 03020 MEXICO Technical Research Centre of Finland Vuorimiehentie 5 Attn: L. Mattila SF-02150 ESP00 FINLAND

Division of Nuclear & Power Engineering Institute for Electric Power Research, POB 233 Attn: Z. Techy L. Voross

H-1368 HUNGARY

Toshiba Corporation 8. Shinsugita-Cho, Isogo-Ku Attn: M. Naito Yokohama 235 JAPAN

Safety Review and Assessment Division Korea Institute of Nuclear Safety P.O. Box 15, Daeduk-Danji Attn: J. J. Lee Taejon, 305-353 KOREA

Nuclear Regulatory Authority Bajkalska 27 Attn: J. Misak 820 07 Bratislava SLOVAK REPUBLIC

Studsvik Nuclear S-611 82, Nykoping Attn: K. O. Johansson SWEDEN

Atomic Energy Council Attn: Y. Chen S. J. Shieh 67, Lane 144 Keedling Road, Section 4 Taipei, Taiwan 106 REPUBLIC OF CHINA Universitat Stuttgart IKE Postfach 180 11 40 Attn: K. D. Hocke D-7000 Stuttgart 80] GERMANY

CEA/Cadarache/Semar (9) Attn: M. Arnaud M. Park M. Adroguer Mme. Dickinson M. Mailliat M. Hueber M. Serre M. Wadworth M. Hidaka 13108 Saint Paul Lez Durance FRANCE

CEA/Cadarache/SEA (3) Attn: M. Clement M. Gronnier Mme. Ktorza 13108 Saint Paul Lez Durance FRANCE

AEA Technology Attn: J. Mitchell, 210/A32 Winfrith, Dorchester Dorset DT2 8DH, England UNITED KINGDOM

Nuclear Power Engineering Center Equipment and Components Dept. Attn: Akira Nonaka, Manager Shuwa-kamigacho Bldg. 3-13, 4-Chome, Toranomon Minato-ku Tokyo 105 JAPAN

Severe Accident Assessment Dept. Attn: Kim, Han Chul, Senior Researcher P.O. Box 16 Kaeduk-Danji Taijon, KOREA 305-606

Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe Gmbh Postfach 3640 Attn: Dr. Siegfried Hagen D-7500 Karlsruhe 1 GERMANY CEA/Cadarache/DRS (2) Attn: M. Zeyen M. VonderHardt 13108 Saint Paul Lez Durance FRANCE

Kemforschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH P.O. Box 3640 Attn: B. Kuczera P. Hofmann K. Burkart 46021 Karlsruhe GERMANY

Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH P.O. Box 1913 Attn: E. F. Hicken 52405 Jülich GERMANY

University of Bochum Universitätsstr. 150 Attn: H. Unger 44801 Bochum GERMANY

University of Stuttgart, IKE Pfaffenwaldring 31 Attn: A. Schatz 70569 Stuttgart GERMANY

Siemens AG UB KWU Hammerbacher Str. 12/14 Attn: H. Fabian H. Weisshaeupl W. Morell 91058 Erlangen GERMANY

SANDIA DISTRIBUTION: (60)

MS0100 Document Processing for DOE/OSTI, 7613-2 (10) MS0619 Technical Publications, 7151 (3) MS0736 N. R. Ortiz, 6400 MS0722 K. Washington, 6913 MS0744 D. A. Powers, 6404 (20) MS0744 W. A. von Riesemann, 6403 MS0745 R. C. Smith, 6418 MS0745 R. M. Summers, 6418 MS0745 T. J. Tautges, 6418 MS0834 J. E. Brockmann, 1512 MS0899 Technical Library, 7141 (7) MS1137 M. D. Allen, 6422 MS1137 N. E. Bixler, 6422 MS1137 T. Y. Chu, 6422 MS1137 R. M. Elrick, 6422 MS1137 T. J. Heames, 6422 MS1139 K. O. Reil, 6423 MS1139 R. C. Schmidt, 6423 MS1144 J. V. Walker, 6501 MS1151 K. E. Bovack, 6515 MS9211 Central Technical Files, 8523-2